

Validation Report Kedah
Date: 27/02/09

The Board of Experts declares that it has validated the MTCC certificate for the FMU of Kedah, including Chain of Custody, against the criteria as laid down in the Keurhout Protocol for the Validation of Sustainable Forest Management, version August 31st, 2007:



This report may only be issued integrally

The following documents have been included in the validation:

- National Steering Committee, 2004. Malaysian Criteria & Indicators for Forest Management Certification (MC&I-2002).
- MTCC, 2004. Requirements for Chain of Custody Certification
- MTCC, 2004. Procedures in MTCC Timber Certification Scheme
- MTCC, 2004. Format of Assessment Report MC&I-2002

Other materials integrated in the validation:

- MTCC, 2008. Public Summary of Assessment of Kedah FMU for Forest Management Certification against the requirements of MC&I (2002)
- MTCC, 2008. Certificate for Forest Management FMC 005 dd 04/06/2008
- www.mtcc.com.my
- SIRIM-QAS, 2007. Report on Assessment of Kedah FMU for Forest Management Certification.
- SIRIM-QAS, 2008. Report on Verification Assessment of Kedah FMU for Forest Management Certification.
- DSM, 2005. Accreditation certificates of SIRIM-QAS for ISO Guide 62
- DSM, 2007. Accreditation certificates of SIRIM-QAS for and ISO Guide 65 and ISO Guide 66

Next to the documents mentioned above various other documents of the MTCC System are relevant and have been taken into account in this validation as well as information provided in response to questions of Keurhout.

GLOSSARY

BoE	Keurhout Board of Experts
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CBD	Convention on Biodiversity
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species
CB	Certification Body
CoC	Chain of Custody
DSM	Department of Standards, Malaysia
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMS	Environmental Management System
EN	European Norm
FFD	Federal Forestry Department
FMU	Forest Management Unit
FSC	Forest Stewardship Council
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
ha	hectare
ILO	International Labour Organization
ISO	International Standards Organization
KED	Kedah
KH	Keurhout
KH-SFM	Keurhout Protocol for the Validation of Sustainable Forest Management
MA	Main Audit
MC&I	Malaysian Criteria and Indicators for Forest Management
MTCC	Malaysian Timber Certification System
NC	Non-Conformity
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Products
NTTA	Netherlands Timber Trade Association
PEFC	Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification Schemes
RCOC	CoC standard of MTCC
RPS	Removal Pass System
SFD	State Forestry Department (of Kedah)
SFM	Sustainable Forest Management
SIRIM-QAS	SIRIM-QAS International (CB)
SIA	Social Impact Assessment
SMS	Selective Management System
TTS	Tree Tagging System
UNCCC	United Nations Conference on Climate Change
UNFF	United Nations Forum on Forests
VA	Verification Audit

1) Validation process

In January 2009 Keurhout (KH) undertook a validation of a MTCC certificate that had been issued against the new MTCC standard for forest management (MC&I-2002). The validation was implemented against the *Keurhout Protocol for the Validation of Sustainable Forest Management (KH-SFM, version August 31st, 2007)*, which is based on the Minimum Requirements for SFM, as established in 1996 by the Dutch Government and which has been further developed since 2004 by the Netherlands Timber Trade Association (NTTA). The validation concerns the Permanent Reserved Forest (PRF) of the State Kedah on Peninsular Malaysia and covers the Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) of a FMU of 307,046 ha (consisting of 36 FMU's divided over 3 Forestry Districts) and an additional 35,567 ha in the process of review for gazettment as PRF. Of the actual PRF 300,845 ha are classified as dry inland forest; 6,201 ha are coastal mangroves. Of the total 183,831 ha is production forest. The chain of custody (CoC) in the forest is included.

The KH-SFM Protocol consists of four parts. Part I is the Validation procedure, the other parts are normative documents regarding SFM (Part II), CoC (Part III) and Certification Bodies (Part IV). The requirements are structured in the form of Criteria with Indicators grouped under various Principles. All Criteria of the standards contained in Parts II to IV are being assessed. Indicators serve as practical parameters to assess compliance with Criteria. Compliance with all Criteria leads to a positive decision on the validation of the certificate.

In October 2008 the KH Board of Experts (BoE) received a request from a representation of KH-participants to validate the recently issued forest management certificate for Kedah (KED). Between December 2008 and January 2009 a validation study took place which included a desk study of relevant documents and several communications with MTCC.

Through the desk study a detailed comparison was made between MTCC documents and the requirements of KH-SYS. As well the performance of the FMU was assessed. Additional questions were asked concerning some aspects that needed more clarity.

2) MTCC

MTCC is the national certification scheme of Malaysia, which was established in 1999 and became operational in 2001. The basic document is: "MTCC, 2004. Procedures in MTCC Timber Certification Scheme" This document is complemented by the latest standards for forest management (MC&I-2002) and Chain of Custody (RCOC) and some other additions.

The MC&I-2002 were developed by the National Steering Committee in coordination with stakeholders in Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak. The structure is based on the principles and criteria of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC); at indicator level there are some adjustments to national or regional conditions.

MTCC comprises all the issues required for forest certification: requirements for forest management, CoC, and the accreditation and quality of external auditing. MTCC is member of the PEFC Council and is actively working towards endorsement by PEFC International.

Organisation of MTCC

The MTCC system is run by the Malaysian Timber Certification Council (MTCC), which plays the role of National Governing Body, and registers Certification Bodies (CB's) to implement assessments of FMU's or CoC's against its respective standards. For forest management assessments MTCC normally also involves two independent peer reviewers. The Board of Trustees of MTCC has established a Certification Committee that is responsible for registration of assessor companies, registration of peer reviewers and decision on applications. The Board appoints a Managing Director, who handles day-to-day management of the system with his staff. The operational office is in Kuala Lumpur. In view of its planned

application for endorsement by PEFC International, MTCC announced that from April 1st 2007 it would only register CB's which have been accredited by the National Accreditation Institution DSM (Department of Standards, Malaysia) under ISO Guide 62, ISO Guide 65 and/or ISO Guide 66 for certification of ISO 9001 and/or ISO 14001. Actually DSM is developing specific accreditation lines for SFM and CoC, which will be used in near future. To further strengthen the acceptance of the MTCC scheme in the international market, MTCC developed a new institutional arrangement, whereby the accredited CB's are directly responsible for the certification decisions. This new arrangement has become functional since July 1st 2008.

Forest management

Forest Management Units (FMU's) in Peninsular Malaysia are defined at the level of Permanent Reserved Forests (PRFs). Such PRFs are managed by the State Forestry Department (SFD) and normally consist of forests at different locations. For the PRFs, a pre-harvest 10% inventory is conducted to determine the optimum cutting limits to be prescribed based on a selective management system (SMS). Subsequently, a 100% Tree Tagging System (TTS) is carried out to tag all harvestable trees in a given licensed harvest area, based on the prescribed cutting limits. The FMU's do not include the other two categories of forests that may occur in the State: "forest on stateland" (normally conversion forest) and "forest on alienated land" (forest managed and owned by third parties). Accordingly timber from these two categories cannot be included as certified timber. Forest management is managed and planned, in accordance with the requirements of the National Forestry Act (1984), through 10-year forest management plans (FMP's) and annual operational plans. For dry inland forest the Selective Management System is applied (30 year rotation).

Certification process

Accredited CB's conduct registration audits that include document review, interview with staff and field visits to check on compliance with the requirements of the standard. All criteria are checked. After the audit a draft assessment report is made, which is forwarded to the responsible State Forestry Department, that can provide its comments with respect to possible non-conformities identified in the draft report. After that, the draft with comments of the forest manager are presented to the independent peer reviewers. Finally, based on its findings and the feed-back of the forest manager and the peer reviewers the CB produces a final report, with certification recommendation, which is presented to the MTCC Certification Committee. In line with the recommendation of the CB a certificate is issued or not. Certificates are granted for five years.

Annual surveillance audits are carried out to monitor compliance with SFM requirements at the FMU level. Such audits use the same standard and approach, but may pay special attention on specific issues, based on possible weaknesses identified in the previous audit. Results of audits are being fed back into the management of FMU's and the long-term FMP, which is revised every 5 years. The MTCC system manager organises regular meetings with the registered CB's to assure common interpretation of requirements.

In case a FMU is not granted a certificate during the main audit (MA), due to the issuance of one or more Major Corrective Action Requests (CAR's), it may request a verification audit (VA) when the necessary adjustments have been made. In such audits all criteria will be dealt with and special attention will be given to earlier identified Major and minor CAR issues.

Certification process of Kedah FMU

The MA of Kedah FMU against the MC&I-2002 took place in March 2006 and resulted in the issuance of 4 Major and 14 minor CAR's. SIRIM-QAS conducted a VA in December 2007 and February 2008 during which the 4 Major CAR's and 7 minor CAR's were closed-out; 7 minor CAR's were maintained and no new minor CAR's were established. As a result the CB recommended Kedah FMU to be issued a certificate against the MC&I 2002. The MTCC

Certification Committee followed this recommendation and issued the certificate on 04/06/2008.

3) Results of the validation

The certificate has been assessed against the three normative documents of the Keurhout SFM validation process.

The summary of the findings of the Board of Experts is presented below. Under each Principle (P) the assessment of the related Criteria is briefly summarized. This summary is captured in an overall validation per Principle with the following indication:

OK = Satisfactorily addressed

OK - = Minor deficiency

- = Major deficiency

Validation Part II a: Requirements regarding the forest management system.

P 1 OK	The organisation responsible for the management of the forest shall act according to the law.
<p>Three criteria come under this principle. The criteria regard legal entity, registration, the right to harvest and royalties and fees. The Malaysian legislative system in combination with the requirement of legal conformity with all relevant laws and regulations covers these criteria sufficiently. <i>The FMU is managed by the SFD. The CB checked on legal use rights, land claims, legally prescribed fees and tax payment records, awareness on local laws and relevant international agreements and found these to be in order.</i> <i>According to the CB the FMU is complying with all relevant laws and regulations.</i></p>	
P 2 OK-	The organisation responsible for the management of the forest shall have an adequate forest management system.
<p>Seven criteria come under this principle. Criteria regarding a responsible management body, a clear forest management unit, complying with (international) legislation, adequate management plan, and qualified personnel are considered to be fulfilled satisfactorily. The management body shows its commitment to long term objectives, but minor CAR KED-001 was issued during the MA for not having sufficiently communicated the policies related to the MC&I-2002 to staff and contractors, thereby affecting their long-term commitment. During the VA it appeared that although briefings had been organised and their understanding on the requirements had been improved, the contractors and especially their workers required further understanding. The CB suggested to provide hands-on training. Therefore this m-CAR remained outstanding. The BoE accepts that more time is needed to raise the full awareness and understanding of contractors' workers. During the MA minor CAR KED-007 was issued because the FMP for the period 2006-2015 had not yet been approved. During the VA it was closed-out, because the finalised and comprehensive FMP had been approved and presented. The last criterion 2.7 "The management body operates a forest management system, according to ISO 9001 or ISO 14001 or based on a comparable systematic approach" is split up in 4 sub-criteria, which consider the planning part, the monitoring of operational aspects, the impact of operations and the feed back of the results into the planning. Although in general these are satisfactorily covered at FMU level, minor CAR KED-009 was issued during the MA for not including a social impact component in monitoring. By the time of the VA a forum for discussing forest harvesting activities with communities prior to operations had been installed, but was not considered by the CB to be a means to monitor social impact. Therefore this m-CAR remained outstanding. The BoE accepts that other procedures for monitoring the social impact shall be implemented, as suggested by the CB. The results shall be verified during the next surveillance audit. As the monitoring of social impact is a new issue in the local context, it is considered acceptable that the minor CAR is maintained. Also minor CAR KED-010 was issued during th MA for not collecting monitoring data on all the issues as required by the standard. Although a research programme for integrated joint research by 17 agencies is being implemented, it appeared during the VA that this did not include social impact analysis, composition changes in fauna, soils and water quality analysis and efficiency of forest management. The m-CAR remained outstanding. The BoE agrees that all elements indicated in the standard need to be monitored, as suggested by the CB. The results shall be verified during the next surveillance audit. The BoE considers that although an extensive joint research programme is being implemented the FMU shall assure to include the missing elements by the next surveillance audit. Given the on-going extensive research programme the BoE considers it acceptable that the minor CAR is maintained. Major CAR KED-011 (issued during the MA for not including monitoring results in the FMP, which was yet to be approved) was closed-out during the VA, because the FMP had been approved and the monitoring data will be included in the next FMP review. The BoE considers that this criterion has been complied with, in line with the explanations of the CB.</p>	

Conclusion:

Based on the above observations, requirement Part II.a is considered to be sufficiently met.

Validation Part IIb: Requirements regarding the forest management performance.

P 1 OK	The regulatory functions of the forest shall be preserved.
<p>Four criteria. Maintenance of soil and water quality, watershed management and erosion prevention are addressed adequately by the SFM criteria. <i>The CB confirms that the FMU complies with the related criteria (see also P.4)</i></p>	
P 2 OK-	The biodiversity of the various ecosystems shall be preserved.
<p>Three criteria. The MTCC requirements imply identification of the main ecosystems and tree species. With the planning and implementation of felling and hauling procedures damage to regeneration, flora and fauna is being minimized. Measures for the High Value Conservation Forests (HCVF) are to be established and integrated in the FMP. Effects of these procedures are to be monitored. Major CAR KED-014 (issued during the MA for lack of proper assessment and identification of HCVF's) was closed-out during the VA as the need to identify and protect HCVF's and their attributes had been included in the FMP, and an action plan was implemented. An area of 14,712 ha had been demarcated and mapped as HCVF. Minor CAR KED-015 (issued during the MA for not including measures for HCVF enhancement in the FMP) was closed-out during the VA, because such measures had been included in the approved FMP. Minor CAR KED-016 (issued during the MA for not presenting a public summary of the FMP with measures for HCVF enhancement) was closed-out during the VA, because the public summary provided on the website included such measures. Minor CAR KED-017 (issued during the MA for no annual monitoring of the effect of measures to enhance HCVF attributes) was maintained. The HCVF had just been established; monitoring will have to be implemented continuously. The CB will verify the results during the next surveillance audit. Minor CAR KED-018 (issued during the MA for not including the results of annual monitoring of the effect of HCVF measures in the FMP) was closed-out during the VA as the HCVF had just been established, monitoring had just started, continuous monitoring of these sites would be maintained and results will be incorporated in the revision of the FMP. Guidelines for identification and protection of RTE species exist. A considerable forest area (approximately 157,800 ha; 46 % of the PRF) in the PRF is designated as conservation area. These can be grouped in 11 functional classes as stipulated under the National Forestry Act. Areas classified as production forest can be harvested, while the other forest categories are for conservation and protection purposes. The latter include watersheds, areas with altitude of 1,000 m.a.s.l. and above, steep slopes, buffer zones along rivers and Virgin Jungle Reserves, among others. The BoE considers the extension of m-CAR KED-17 acceptable as the monitoring procedures are in place and implementation will be verified during the next surveillance audit.</p>	
P 3 OK	Adverse side effects, resulting from forest management, shall be prevented.
<p>One criterion. The criterion requires social and environmental impact assessments. Such assessments are required by MTCC, and their results shall be included in management planning. Social Impact monitoring is rather new in Malaysia. In this case SIA's are not very relevant as neither communities live within or near to the FMU nor do communities depend on the forest for their livelihood or are exerting any customary rights. EIA's are not new, but only required by law above a certain size of the area.</p>	
P 4 OK	The timber production capacity of the forest shall be preserved.
<p>Four criteria. Criteria regarding the maintenance of management data, preservation of natural regeneration capacity and implementation of appropriate harvesting systems are well covered. Also minimizing harvesting damage to the residual stand, seedlings and soil is required. <i>In general the CB considered these elements to be complied with properly.</i> <i>The Selective Management System is applied, including pre- and post felling inventories. Net volumes extracted from regulated forest and primary forest were within established limits (< 61 m³/ha and < 85 m³/ha respectively), while the number of good residual trees of commercial species with diameter of 30 cm and above exceeded the required minimum (32 trees/ha). Also RIL is applied. Timber extraction is within the projected limits. Presence of sufficient mother trees/ha is confirmed.</i></p>	

<p>Major CAR KED-004 (issued during the MA for not consistently implementing RIL specifications in the construction and maintenance of feeder roads) was closed-out as field inspection during the VA indicated an overall improvement in feeder road construction, alignment of skid trails according to harvesting plans, density of road within prescribed limits and construction of water bars.</p> <p>Major CAR KED-005 (issued during the MA as construction of roads had resulted in excess soil being pushed into buffers and streams) was closed-out as inspection during the VA evidenced proper construction of earth bunds along feeder roads, sediment sumps along steeper slopes and side drains to channel excess water.</p> <p>Major CAR KED-006 (issued during the MA for felling of trees and presence of logging debris in buffers along streams) was closed-out as inspection during the VA evidenced that buffer zones were clearly marked and no disturbance had taken place within buffer zones.</p> <p>The BoE accepts the closing out of the 3 major CAR's, on the basis of the evidence found during the verification audits.</p>	
P 5 OK	The production capacity of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) shall be preserved.
<p>Three criteria. Criteria refer to the maintenance of data on NTFP's, local processing of NTFP's and support to the development of the local economy. <i>Legal provisions are in place for NTFP collection. However only small amounts of rattan and bamboo are extracted for own consumption. No commercial utilization has taken place since 2003, due to low market prices and difficult extraction conditions.</i></p>	
P 6 OK-	The participation of the local population shall be ensured.
<p>Three criteria Criteria refer to the consultation of stakeholders, opportunities for profit sharing and the respect for rights of various stakeholders. <i>The criterion about profit sharing is considered to be not applicable in the Malaysian context. There is only one Orang Asli community in Kedah; there are no Orang Asli or Malay communities in or near the PFR. Customary rights in the PRF would be respected by SFD, but there are no such rights exerted. The communities does not depend on forest resources for their livelihood. Appropriate mechanisms for dispute resolving are available at various levels. SFD provided the auditor with evidence of consultation and discussion between SFD and communities. The forest management in the PRF does not threaten the rights nor resources of Orang Asli; the headman of the community confirmed this in an interview with the CB.</i></p> <p>Minor CAR KED-008 (issued during the MA for not making available a summary of the FMP - as the FMP had not yet been approved) was closed-out during the VA as the summary of the finalised FMP had been placed on the SFD's website.</p> <p>Minor CAR KED-012 (issued during the MA for not making available a summary on the results of the monitoring indicators) was closed-out during the VA as the summary had been placed on the SFD's website.</p> <p>Minor CAR KED-013 was issued during the MA for not presenting a list of relevant stakeholders consulted on HCVF's. Some stakeholders (e.g. universities) had been consulted on an individual basis, but a comprehensive list of stakeholders consulted had yet to be prepared. Therefore this m-CAR remained outstanding.</p> <p>The BoE agrees that a comprehensive list shall be verified during the surveillance audit.</p>	
P 7 OK-	The social and economic well being of the local population and employees shall be ensured.
<p>Four criteria. The criteria concern job opportunities for local population, working conditions, training, health and safety provisions and knowledge and respect of legislative instruments and regulations. All these requirements are adequately addressed by the MTCC requirements. <i>In general the CB confirmed compliance with the requirements. Local people are employed in forest operations and the FD actively encourages logging contractors to employ workers from local communities. Working conditions, training and safety issues are well taken care of. All forest workers and contractors are aware of operational procedures in the work place, including those on health and safety. The FMU was recommended to instruct all contractors to provide their workers with information on all applicable laws and regulations covering health and safety.</i></p> <p>Minor CAR KED-002 (issued during the MA for lack of documentation on health and safety records of contract workers) was maintained. Contractors explained that no serious accidents had taken place during many years. The CB recommended the FMU to develop a registration form to be used by contractors.</p>	

Minor CAR KED-003 (issued during the MA for lack of demarcation of suitable storage sites and lack of guidelines for hazardous materials) was **closed-out** during the VA as a centralised diesel supply system had been instituted and solutions and procedures had been developed to avoid fuel spillage in the field.

The BoE accepts the extension of m-CAR KEL-002 in view of the recommendations made by the CB. Compliance to be checked during the next surveillance audit.

P 8 OK	Socio-cultural forest functions and utilisation by indigenous and other people living in and around the forest shall be respected.
-----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Four criteria.

Criteria refer to respecting of traditional land-use rights, knowledge and respect for traditional NTFP-use and for areas of religious or cultural importance and maintenance of aesthetic value.

Except for aesthetic value, all these elements are sufficiently addressed by the MTCC requirements.

The criterion on aesthetic value is not considered opportune in the Malaysian context.

The CB confirms that legal or customary land and use rights are in principle respected. However, there is only one Orang Asli community in Kedah, which is located outside the PRF and does not exert any land or customary rights on the forest. There are no areas of religious or cultural importance to the community within the PRF. So, the first two criteria are considered to be complied with, whereas the other two criteria are considered not relevant in the context of the Kedah PRF.

P 9 OK	Negative social impacts caused by forest exploitation shall be reduced.
-----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Two criteria.

The criteria concern compensation for damaged property and related laws and mechanisms.

Malaysian legislation regulates damage claims and compensation. Appropriate mechanisms are available and known. The CB confirmed that adequate mechanisms are in place. No claims have been made.

Conclusion:

Based on the above observations, requirement Part II.b is considered to be sufficiently met.

Validation Part III: Requirements regarding the chain of custody

P 1 OK	The timber shall be traced and monitored from the moment of felling through all its possible stages of processing and transport up to the moment of being imported in the EU.
-----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Five criteria.

The main issues is: parties in the CoC have a certified management system according to ISO norms. Criteria refer to valid registration and licences, internal management systems, availability of well documented procedures in the forest and through processing, establishment of certified %'s and mixing with timber from legal origin sources. This implies the registration and documentation of production, processing, storage, transport and sales and the distinguishing between certified and non-certified timber products. In case of mixed products the certified products should at least be mixed with timber(products) from legal origin.

Due to the fact that this validation concerns the CoC-part of the forest management and the timber flow to the Forest Checking Station only, the requirements on processing of timber and mixing with timber from legal sources are not considered relevant in this context. These elements are covered by Malaysian legislation and the RCOC standard of MTCC.

The Malaysian legislative system requires and enforces registration and valid licenses. The MTCC standard requires compliance with all relevant laws and regulations. It also requires forest managers to enable monitoring and certification organizations to trace each forest product from its origin. The application of the Removal Pass System is required by law and controlled by SFD and special FFD control units. The application of the Tree Tagging System was verified by the CB and found to be in order. Auditors also checked information of RP's with those of TTR's and administration at Forest Checking Stations, which were found to be in order. Full compliance according to the CB.

Conclusion:

Based on the above observations, requirement Part III is considered to be fully met.

Validation Part IV: Requirements regarding the certifying body

P 1 OK	The certification body shall be able to demonstrate that it is capable of assessing forest management and the management system and/or the Chain of Custody.
<p>Seven criteria. The first three criteria concern the expertise and independence of the CB. These criteria are implicitly met as MTCC requires CB's to be adequately accredited by the national accreditation body DSM. The following four criteria refer to the assessment scheme. They address audit procedures including the identification of relevant legislation and existing (customary) rights and requirements for the audit report. All these requirements are covered through the accreditation by a national accreditation body. <i>SIRIM-QAS is accredited by DSM for ISO Guide 62, ISO Guide 65 and ISO Guide 66.</i></p>	

Conclusion:

Based on the above observations, requirement Part IV is considered to be fully met.

Summary of Principles with deficiencies

Part	OK	OK -	--
II a	P 1	P 2	
II b	P 1; 3; 4; 5; 8; 9	P 2; 6; 7	
III	P 1		
IV	P 1		

Overall conclusion:

In general it is observed that all three normative parts of the Keurhout Protocol are being sufficiently covered by the requirements of the MTCC system in the context of the Malaysian legislation and forest management guidelines. In practice the CB has identified some issues that need to be strengthened, for which minor CAR's were established.

The BoE **accepts** the MTCC certificate for Kedah as described in the studied documents without further conditions, to the Keurhout Sustainable system, but will critically follow developments on the closing out of the established minor CAR's.

And further:

Keurhout considers it the responsibility of MTCC to provide Keurhout with full copies of annual surveillance audit reports, related to the FMU.

MTCC shall inform Keurhout at least one month in advance on any changes of its standards as well as of changes in relevant legislation and/or regulations.

MTCC shall inform Keurhout immediately in case of suspension or termination of the certificate.


Failure to do so may result in suspension or termination of the admission to the Keurhout Sustainable system.

Date: February 27th, 2009

Signed:

Ir. E.P. Zambon

Prof. C.J. Jepma



(Secretary)



(Chairman)